TOM DAVIS, VIRGINIA

CHRISTOPHER SHAYS, CONNECTICUT DAN BURTON, INDIANA ILEANA ROS-LEHTINEN, FLORIDA JOHN M. MCHUGH, NEW YORK JOHN M. MCHUGH, NEW YORK JOHN L. MICA, FLORIDA GIL GUTKNECHT, MINNESOTA MARK E. SOUDER, INDIANA STEVEN C. LATOURETTE, OHIO TODD RUSSELL PLATTS, PENNSYLVANIA CHRIS CANNON, UTAH JOHN J. DUNCAN, JR., TENNESSE CANDICE MILLER, MICHIGAN MICHAEL R. TURNER, OHIO DARRELL ISSA, CALIFORNIA VIRGINIA BROWN-WAITE, FLORIDA JON C. PORTER, NEVADA KENNY MARCHANT, TEXAS LYNN A. WESTMORELAND, GEORGIA PATRICK T. MCHENRY, NORTH CAROLINA CHARLES W. DENT, PENNSYLVANIA VIRGINIA FOXX, NORTH CAROLINA FORDER

ONE HUNDRED NINTH CONGRESS

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM 2157 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6143

> MAJORITY (202) 225–5074 FACSIMILE (202) 225–3974 MINORITY (202) 225–5051 TTY (202) 225–6852

http://reform.house.gov

July 14, 2005

HENRY A. WAXMAN, CALIFORNIA,

TOM LANTOS, CALIFORNIA
MAJOR R. OWENS, NEW YORK
EDOLPHUS TOWNS, NEW YORK
PAUL E. KANJORSKI, PENNSYLVANIA
CAROLYN B. MALONEY, NEW YORK
ELIJAH E. CUMMINGS, MARYLAND
DENNIS J. KUCINICH, OHIO
DANNY K. DAVIS, ILLINOIS
WM. LACY CLAY, MISSOURI
DIANE E. WATSON, CALIFORNIA
STEPHEN F. LYNCH, MASSACHUSETTS
CHRIS VAN HOLLEN, MARYLAND
LINDA T. SANCHEZ, CALIFORNIA
C.A. DUTCH RUPPERSBERGER,
MARYLAND
BRIAN HIGGINS, NEW YORK
ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON,
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BERNARD SANDERS, VERMONT, INDEPENDENT

The Honorable Linda M. Springer Director U.S. Office of Personnel Management Theodore Roosevelt Building 1900 E Streets, NW Washington, D.C. 20415

Dear Director Springer:

Congratulations on your confirmation as Director of the Office of Personnel Management; we look forward to working closely with you on Federal human resource issues.

As you are aware, the Federal Employees Dental and Vision Benefits Enhancement Act of 2004 requires the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) to establish a new program under which supplemental dental and vision insurance is made available to federal employees, annuitants, and their dependents. The successful implementation of this new benefit will assist in making the health benefit package for Federal employees a model for the private sector, and will assist the Federal government in the recruitment and retention of the best and the brightest Federal workforce available.

It is our strong belief that in order for the new benefit to be successful it must embody the two strongest tenets of the Federal Employees Health Benefit (FEHB) program: competition and choice. Our Committee, working with our Senate counterparts, crafted language that would allow for a fair and open process, giving each enrollee a broad choice of benefits and plan types including indemnity, dental health maintenance organizations (DHMO), and preferred provider option (PPO) programs.

We encourage OPM to look favorably toward providing a request for proposal (RFP) process that will take into account the need for competition and a number of choices to enrollees. It does not seem to be in the Federal employees or retirees' interest to exclude many of the Nation's regional plans that provide the largest provider networks and multiple plan types (DHMO, PPO, traditional indemnity) in a geographic region. In addition, some regional plans have years and years of experience in providing voluntary dental benefits -- often to Federal employees.

This choice of programs, similar to OPM's health benefit options, can offer employees significantly better value for their premium dollar with a DHMO, or a more limited benefit that allows the selection of any dentist. A study conducted by one regional carrier found that the DHMO option has proven to be dramatically more popular than the PPO when offered on a voluntary, employee pay all, basis. The study found that 87.6 percent of the enrolled members are participating in the DHMO, while only 13.4 percent are participating in the PPO. This disparity can be attributed to the significantly higher benefit dollar return enrollees received for their premium dollar in the DHMO.

Again, the annuitants will be best served by a reasonable amount of programs offering a multitude of plan types and benefits. It would seem that excluding regional plans from the RFP process would undermine our efforts to ensure this important and necessary principle. We appreciate your consideration of this issue and look forward to your prompt response.

Sincerely,

Tom Davis

Chairman

Committee on Government Reform

Jon C. Porter

Chairman

Subcommittee on the Federal Workforce

and Agency Organization