TOM DAVIS, VIRGINIA, CHAIRMAN

CHRISTOPHER SHAYS, CONNECTICUT DAN BURTON, INDIANA ILEANA ROS-LEHTINEN, FLORIDA JOHN M. MCHUGH, NEW YORK JOHN L. MICA, FLORIDA GIL GUTKNECHT, MINNESOTA MARKE. SOUDER, INDIANA STEVEN C. LATOURETTE, OHIO TODD RUSSELL PLATTS, PENNSYLVANIA CHRIS CANNON, UTAH JOHN J. DUNCAN, JR., TENNESSEE CANDICE MILLER, MICHIGAN MICHAEL R. TURNER, OHIO DARRELL ISSA, CALIFORNIA CHARLES W. DENT, PENNSYLVANIA VIRGINIA FOXX, NORTH CAROLINA JEAN SCHMIDT, OHIO

ONE HUNDRED NINTH CONGRESS

## Congress of the United States

## House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM 2157 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6143

> MAJORITY (202) 225–5074 FACSIMILE (202) 225–3974 MINORITY (202) 225–5051 TTY (202) 225–6852

http://reform.house.gov

January 27, 2006

The Honorable Jon W. Dudas Under Secretary for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, VA 22313

Dear Mr. Dudas:

Substantial controversy has surrounded patents held by NTP, Inc., particularly since the technology covered by those patents is also used by Research In Motion, Ltd. (RIM) to provide BlackBerry service to millions of federal government employees, contractors, and private users. While litigation and settlement negotiations between the parties are central to the controversy, the patent-approval and reexamination processes at the Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) have been significant factors in whether service continues uninterrupted to BlackBerry customers. The ability of government and private sector users to send and receive wireless email communication has become vital to our economy, global competitiveness, and quality of life.

The adequacy of the initial review of NTP's patents has been called into question by the litigation and the pending reexamination process at the PTO. I understand the reexamination process was initiated by RIM in 2003. If adequate resources were utilized to determine the initial validity of the patents, this controversy could have been avoided. Additionally, if RIM's reexamination requests had been acted upon more expeditiously, the current uncertainty could have been resolved long before it threatened critical service to the government and consumers.

The current patent controversy involving BlackBerry service is but one signal that our economy and ability to compete in a global economy are dependent on an efficient and competent system that brings new technological developments to the marketplace. A vital component of that system is a well-funded and efficient Patent and Trademark Office.

As we challenge the developing world to match our commitment to protecting intellectual property rights, we should not hesitate to make refinements to our own system. Because of this, I ask you to provide me with your assessment of the current

HENRY A. WAXMAN, CALIFORNIA,

TOM LANTOS, CALIFORNIA
MAJOR R. OWENS, NEW YORK
EDOLPHUS TOWNS, NEW YORK
PAUL E. KANJORSKI, PENNSYLVANIA
CAROLYN B. MALONEY, NEW YORK
ELIJAH E. CUIMMINGS, MARYLAND
DENNIS J. KUCINICH, OHIO
DANNY K. DAVIS, ILLINOIS
WM. LACY CLAY, MISSOURI
DIANE E. WATSON, CALIFORNIA
STEPHEN F. LYNCH, MASSACHUSETTS
CHRIS VAN HOLLEN, MARYLAND
LINDA T. SANCHEZ, CALIFORNIA
C.A. DUTCH RUPPERSBERGER,
MARYLAND
BRIAN HIGGINS, NEW YORK
ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON,
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BERNARD SANDERS, VERMONT,

The Honorable Jon W. Dudas January 27, 2006 Page 2

patent examination and reexamination processes, what reforms are needed, and how current shortcomings impact bringing new technological developments to the marketplace, including the effect on the NTP/RIM controversy. I stand ready to work with you to do all I can to make the patent process better so that new information technology is introduced into commerce and adequate intellectual property protection is afforded to legitimate interests. I look forward to your timely response.

Sincerely,

Jan

Tom Davis Chairman