TOM DAVIS, VIRGINIA, CHAIRMAN

CHRISTOPHER SHAYS, CONNECTICUT DAN BURTON, INDIANA ILEANA ROS-LEHTINEN, FLORIDA JOHN M. MCHUGH, NEW YORK JOHN M. MCHUGH, NEW YORK JOHN L. MIGA, FLORIDA GIL, GUTKNECHT, MINNESOTA MARK E. SOUDER, INDIANA STEVEN C. LATOURETTE, OHIO TODD RUSSELL PLATTS, PENNSYLVANIA CHRIS CANNON, UTAH JOHN J. DUNCAN, JR., TENNESSEE CANDICE MILLER, MICHIGAN MICHAEL R. TURNER, OHIO DARRELL ISSA, CALIFORNIA JON C. PORTER, NEVADA KENNY MARCHANT, TEXAS LYNN A. WESTMORELAND, GEORGIA PATRICK T. MCHENRY, NORTH CAROLINA CHARLES W. DENT, PENNSYLVANIA VIRGINIA FOXX, NORTH CAROLINA JEAN SCHMIDT, OHIO VACANCY

ONE HUNDRED NINTH CONGRESS

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM 2157 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6143

> MAJORITY (202) 225–5074 FACSIMILE (202) 225–3974 MINORITY (202) 225–5051 TTY (202) 225–6852

http://reform.house.gov

HENRY A. WAXMAN, CALIFORNIA, RANKING MINORITY MEMBER

TOM LANTOS, CALIFORNIA
MAJOR R. OWENS, NEW YORK
PAUL E. KANJORSKI, PENNSYLVANIA
CAROLYN B. MALONEY, NEW YORK
ELIJAH E. CUMMINGS, MARYLAND
DENNIS J. KUCINICH, OHIO
DANNY K. DAVIS, ILLINOIS
WM. LACY CLAY, MISSOURI
DIANE E. WATSON, CALIFORNIA
STEPHEN F. LYNCH, MASSACHUSETTS
CHRIS VAN HOLLEN, MARYLAND
LINDA T. SANCHEZ, CALIFORNIA
C.A. DUTCH RUPPERSBERGER,
MARYLAND
BRIAN HIGGINS, NEW YORK
ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON,
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BERNARD SANDERS, VERMONT, INDEPENDENT

April 3, 2006

Mr. Bruce Brody Vice President, Information Security INPUT 10790 Parkridge Boulevard, Suite 200 Reston, VA 20191

Dear Mr. Brody:

The Federal government has become increasingly dependent on information technology and the Internet for functions ranging from mission critical to administrative support services, and the need to secure its systems has intensified in turn. Agencies' poor performance in this area prompted the Government Accountability Office to include government-wide information security on its high-risk list since 1997. Therefore, I drafted the Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002 (FISMA), to require agencies to protect themselves against the ever-changing scope of cyber threats.

FISMA is intended to require federal agencies to establish a comprehensive agency-wide risk-based approach to information security management, including elements such as risk assessments, risk management policies, security awareness training, periodic reviews, and annual independent evaluation. FISMA reinforces provisions from the Government Information Security Reform Act, requires agencies to establish a foundation for information security, and allows them the flexibility to adapt to the changing threat environment.

As the Committee on Government Reform concludes its evaluation of the FY 2005 FISMA reports from federal agencies, we are looking at ways to improve federal information security. Therefore, I read with interest a March 15, 2006, *Government Executive* article in which you stated, "FISMA compliance does not necessarily mean you're secure. It means you've put together a lot of documentation." I understand that you doubt the effectiveness of FISMA and think the scope of the Act forces agencies to

Mr. Bruce Brody April 3, 2006 Page Two

focus on the wrong areas, preventing them from adequately securing their systems. Moreover, you have publicly criticized FISMA in the past.

Given your experience working on information security issues in federal agencies, I am interested in discussing your concerns about the government's current information security management framework and hearing your constructive ideas for strengthening it.

I believe agencies that comply with FISMA are more secure. But I am not so naïve or stubborn as to think FISMA is a panacea, or that important improvement could not be made. Thus, I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Tom Davis Chairman

Cc: Mr. Peter Cunningham

President INPUT